

# Lattice calculation of GPDs and twist-3 PDFs of the proton

Aurora Scapellato

Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań



Asia-Pacific Symposium for Lattice Field Theory (APLAT 2020)

August 5, 2020



## Collaborators & Projects

### ① Generalized parton distributions

Constantia Alexandrou<sup>1,2</sup>, Krzysztof Cichy<sup>3</sup>, Martha Constantinou<sup>4</sup>, Kyriakos Hadjiyiannakou<sup>1</sup>, Karl Jansen<sup>5</sup>, Fernanda Steffens<sup>6</sup>

### ② Twist-3 parton distributions

Shohini Bhattacharya<sup>4</sup>, Krzysztof Cichy<sup>3</sup>, Martha Constantinou<sup>4</sup>, Andreas Metz<sup>4</sup>, Fernanda Steffens<sup>6</sup>

University of Cyprus<sup>1</sup>, The Cyprus Institute<sup>2</sup>, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań<sup>3</sup>, Temple University<sup>4</sup>, DESY-Zeuthen<sup>5</sup>, University of Bonn<sup>6</sup>

- 1 Generalized parton distributions
- 2 Twist-3 parton distribution functions
- 3 Conclusions

- 1 Generalized parton distributions
- 2 Twist-3 parton distribution functions
- 3 Conclusions

# Generalized parton distributions (GPDs)

D. Muller, A. Radyushkin, X. Ji (1994-1997)

GPDs provide a unifying picture for a set of fundamental quantities of hadronic structure:

form factors and PDFs

longitudinal structure and transverse distribution of partons

) What is the momentum and spatial distributions of quarks?

angular momentum of quarks and gluons

# Generalized parton distributions (GPDs)

D. Muller, A. Radyushkin, X. Ji (1994-1997)

GPDs provide a unifying picture for a set of fundamental quantities of hadronic structure:

form factors and PDFs

longitudinal structure and transverse distribution of partons

) What is the momentum and spatial distributions of quarks?

angular momentum of quarks and gluons

GPDs experimentally accessed in exclusive processes, e.g. DVCS and DVMP, but:

[Belitsky and Radyushkin, 2005, Kumericki et al., 2016]

not directly related to cross sections

data are limited

) GPDs mostly unknown so far

GPDs are part of the physics program of EIC, HERMES, COMPASS, Jlab

DVCS:  $ep \rightarrow ep^0$

## GPDs as light-cone correlation functions

For a given quark  $q$

(unpolarized hadron)

$$F^q = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 dz e^{i x P^+ z} \langle h P_f | j(0) + e^{i g \frac{R_z}{\sigma} dx^0 A^+ (z)} | P_i \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2P^+} \left[ H^q(x; ; t) u(P_f) + u(P_i) + E^q(x; ; t) u(P_f) \frac{i}{2m} u(P_i) \right]$$

Three-variables:

- 1  $x$ : quark momentum fraction
- 2  $t = (P_f - P_i)^2$ : momentum transfer squared
- 3  $\frac{i}{2m}$ : skewness

2 GPDs:  
objects of interest

### Properties:

In the forward limit ( $P_i = P_f$ ), GPDs reduce to parton densities:  $H^q(x; 0; 0) = q(x)$

Elastic form factors are moments of GPDs, e.g.  $\int_{-1}^1 dx H^q(x; ; t) = F_1(t)$

# GPDs as light-cone correlation functions

For a given quark  $q$

(unpolarized hadron)

$$F^q = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 dz e^{ixP^+ z} \langle hP_f | j(0) + e^{ig \int_0^R dx^0 A^+} (z) | P_i \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2P^+} \left[ H^q(x; ; t) u(P_f) + u(P_i) + E^q(x; ; t) u(P_f) \frac{i}{2m} u(P_i) \right]$$

2 GPDs:  
objects of interest

Three-variables:

- 1  $x$ : quark momentum fraction
- 2  $t = (P_f - P_i)^2$ : momentum transfer squared
- 3  $\xi = \frac{z}{2P^+}$ : skewness

**Light-cone dominance:  
need of sophisticated  
methods on the lattice!**

## Properties:

In the forward limit ( $P_i = P_f$ ), GPDs reduce to parton densities:  $H^q(x; 0; 0) = q(x)$

Elastic form factors are moments of GPDs, e.g.  $\int_{-1}^1 dx H^q(x; ; t) = F_1(t)$



GPDs computed through purely space-like correlation functions

$$F^q(x; \xi; t; P_3) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-Z}^Z \frac{dz}{2} e^{i x P_3 z} \langle N(P_f) | j(0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | W(0; z) | z \rangle \langle j N(P_i) | i \rangle = \frac{u(P_f)}{2P_0} \left[ H_0 + \frac{E i^0}{2m_N} \right] u(P_i)$$

Quasi-GPDs

Fourier transform

matrix elements  
of fast moving nucleons

GPDs computed through purely space-like correlation functions

$$F^q(x; \tilde{\sim}; t; P_3) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-Z}^Z \frac{dz}{2} e^{i x P_3 z} \langle N(P_f) | j(0) W(0; z) (z) j | N(P_i) \rangle = \frac{u(P_f)}{2P_0} \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{E} \frac{i^0}{2m_N} u(P_i)$$

Quasi-GPDs

Fourier transform

matrix elements  
of fast moving nucleons

Variables:

- 1  $W(z)$ : Wilson line of length  $z$
- 2  $P = \frac{P_i + P_f}{2}$ : average momentum boost
- 3  $t = -z^2 = -Q^2$
- 4  $\tilde{\sim} = \frac{Q_3}{2P_3}$ : quasi-skewness  $\tilde{\sim} = \tilde{\sim} + O(1/P_3^2)$

GPDs computed through purely space-like correlation functions

$$F^q(x; \tilde{\sim}; t; P_3) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-Z}^Z dz e^{ixP_3z} \langle N(P_f) j(0) W(0; z) (z) j N(P_i) \rangle = \frac{u(P_f)}{2P_0} \mathbb{H}_0 + \mathbb{E} \frac{i^0}{2m_N} u(P_i)$$

Quasi-GPDs

Fourier transform

matrix elements  
of fast moving nucleons

Variables:

- 1  $W(z)$ : Wilson line of length  $z$
- 2  $P = \frac{P_i + P_f}{2}$ : average momentum boost
- 3  $t = -z^2 = -Q^2$
- 4  $\tilde{\sim} = \frac{Q_3}{2P_3}$ : quasi-skewness  $\tilde{\sim} = \tilde{\sim} + O(1/P_3^2)$

For sufficiently large  $P_3$ , quasi-GPDs are matched onto GPDs within LaMET framework

$$F^q = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{|y|} \mathcal{C} F^q(x; y; t; P_3; \tilde{\sim}^2) + O\left(\frac{Q_{CD}^2}{P_3^2}; \frac{t}{P_3^2}; \frac{m_N^2}{P_3^2}\right)$$

↪ matching kernel

$\mathcal{C}$  computed to 1-loop level in RI/MOM scheme [Y-S. Liu et al., Phys.Rev. D100 (2019) no.3, 034006]

## Gauge ensemble

Configurations of  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  flavors & clover term [ETMC collaboration]

Ensemble	$N_f$	$L^3$	T	lattice spacinga	m	m L
cA211.32	4	$32^3$	64	0.093 fm	270 MeV	4

## Gauge ensemble

Configurations of  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  flavors & clover term [ETMC collaboration]

Ensemble	$N_f$	$L^3$	T	lattice spacinga	m	m L
cA211.32	4	$32^3$	64	0.093 fm	270 MeV	4

## Nucleon momenta

Breit frame:

$$\mathbf{P}_i = P_3 \hat{z} \quad Q=2; \quad \mathbf{P}_f = P_3 \hat{z} + Q=2$$

(GPDs defined in the Breit frame)

$P_3$ [GeV]	$\mathbf{P}_i \quad \frac{L}{2}$	$\mathbf{P}_f \quad \frac{L}{2}$	$Q^2$ [GeV $^2$ ]		$N_{\text{meas}}$
0.83	(0,-1,2)	(0,1,2)	0.69	0	4152
1.25	(0,-1,3)	(0,1,3)	0.69	0	35136
1.67	(0,-1,4)	(0,1,4)	0.69	0	112192

## Gauge ensemble

Configurations of  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  flavors & clover term [ETMC collaboration]

Ensemble	$N_f$	$L^3$	T	lattice spacinga	m	m L
cA211.32	4	$32^3$	64	0.093 fm	270 MeV	4

## Nucleon momenta

Breit frame:

$$P_i = P_3 \hat{z} \quad Q=2; \quad P_f = P_3 \hat{z} + Q=2$$

(GPDs defined in the Breit frame)

$P_3$ [GeV]	$P_i$	$\frac{L}{2}$	$P_f$	$\frac{L}{2}$	$Q^2$ [GeV $^2$ ]	$N_{\text{meas}}$
0.83	(0,-1,2)		(0,1,2)		0.69	0 4152
1.25	(0,-1,3)		(0,1,3)		0.69	0 35136
1.67	(0,-1,4)		(0,1,4)		0.69	0 112192

Classes chosen such that:

- 1  $P_3$ -dependence can be investigated

## Gauge ensemble

Configurations of  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  flavors & clover term [ETMC collaboration]

Ensemble	$N_f$	$L^3$	T	lattice spacinga	m	m L
cA211.32	4	$32^3$	64	0.093 fm	270 MeV	4

## Nucleon momenta

Breit frame:

$$P_i = P_3 \hat{z} \quad Q=2; \quad P_f = P_3 \hat{z} + Q=2$$

(GPDs defined in the Breit frame)

$P_3$ [GeV]	$P_i$	$\frac{L}{2}$	$P_f$	$\frac{L}{2}$	$Q^2$ [GeV $^2$ ]	$N_{\text{meas}}$
0.83	(0,-1,2)		(0,1,2)		0.69	0 4152
1.25	(0,-1,3)		(0,1,3)		0.69	0 35136
1.67	(0,-1,4)		(0,1,4)		0.69	0 112192

Classes chosen such that:

1  $P_3$ -dependence can be investigated

2 different GPDs can be disentangled  $H(x; t; ); E(x; t; ); H(x; ; t); \dots$

PRELIMINARY RESULTS: analyze analysis at  $Q=0$

## Lattice evaluation for the isovector combination $u - d$

For different classes of momenta we compute

$$M(z; P_3; Q; ; P) = \langle N(P_3 \hat{z} + Q=2) | (0)_3 W(0; z) (z) | N(P_3 \hat{z} - Q=2) \rangle$$

?  $= \langle 0; 5_j ; \dots \rangle$  gives access to a specific GPD

?  $P$  is a parity projector used in the three-point functions

Every matrix element,  $M$ , extracted from the ratio

$$R_O(P; \mathbf{P}_f; \mathbf{P}_i; t; t_{ins}) = \frac{C_O^{3pt}(P; \mathbf{P}_f; \mathbf{P}_i; t; t_{ins})}{C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_f; t)}$$

$$S \frac{C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_i; t - t_{ins}) C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_f; t_{ins}) C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_f; t)}{C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_f; t - t_{ins}) C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_i; t_{ins}) C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_i; t)}$$

### Lattice methods:

Sequential inversions through the sink ( $t_s = 12 a' = 1.13 \text{ fm}$ )

Momentum smearing [G. Bali et al., Phys.Rev.D 93 (2016) 9, 094515]



## Lattice evaluation for the isovector combination $u - d$

For different classes of momenta we compute

$$M(z; P_3; Q; ; P) = \langle N(P_3 \hat{z} + Q=2) | (0)_3 W(0; z) (z) | N(P_3 \hat{z} - Q=2) \rangle$$

?  $= \langle 0; 5_j; ; \rangle$  gives access to a specific GPD

?  $P$  is a parity projector used in the three-point functions

Every matrix element,  $M$ , extracted from the ratio

$$R_O(P; \mathbf{P}_f; \mathbf{P}_i; t; t_{ins}) = \frac{C_O^{3pt}(P; \mathbf{P}_f; \mathbf{P}_i; t; t_{ins})}{C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_f; t)}$$

$$S \frac{C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_i; t - t_{ins}) C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_f; t_{ins}) C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_f; t)}{C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_f; t - t_{ins}) C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_i; t_{ins}) C^{2pt}(\mathbf{P}_i; t)}$$

### Lattice methods:

Sequential inversions through the sink ( $t_s = 12 a' = 1.13 \text{ fm}$ )

Momentum smearing [G. Bali et al., Phys.Rev.D 93 (2016) 9, 094515]

Multiple matrix elements  
needed to disentangle  
different GPDs

## Bare matrix elements for unpolarized GPDs

To disentangle  $H(x; ; t)$  and  $E(x; ; t)$  two matrix elements are needed

$$t = Q^2 = 0.69 \text{ GeV}^2, \quad = 0$$

Projectors:

$$P_0 = \frac{(1 + \gamma_5)}{4}$$
$$P_1 = \frac{(1 + \gamma_5)}{4} \gamma_5$$

Both matrix elements contribute (real parts have the same magnitude)

## Disentangling $F_H$ and $F_E$

$F_E(z; ;t)$  and  $F_H(z; ;t)$  extracted through a decomposition

$$F_H(z; ;t) = K_H(P_i; P_f; 0)M(0; 0) + K_H^0(P_i; P_f; 1)M(0; 1)$$

$K; K^0$ : kinematic factors

$$F_E(z; ;t) = K_E^0(P_i; P_f; 0)M(0; 0) + K_E^0(P_i; P_f; 1)M(0; 1)$$

$F_E$  noisier than  $F_H$  (E-GPD subleading compared to H-GPD)

## x-dependence of GPDs

## x-dependence of GPDs

## x-dependence of GPDs

H-GPDs compatible at the three nucleon momenta  
H-GPDs suppressed with respect to PDFs  
(as expected from usual form factors)

H-GPDs compatible at the three nucleon momenta  
H-GPDs suppressed with respect to PDFs  
(as expected from usual form factors)

E-GPDs might be more affected by  
the value of the nucleon boost



H-GPDs compatible at the three nucleon momenta  
H-GPDs suppressed with respect to PDFs  
(as expected from usual form factors)

E-GPDs might be more affected by  
the value of the nucleon boost

H-GPDs compatible at the three nucleon momenta  
H-GPDs suppressed with respect to PDFs  
(as expected from usual form factors)

E-GPDs might be more affected by  
the value of the nucleon boost

H-GPDs compatible at the three nucleon momenta  
H-GPDs suppressed with respect to PDFs  
(as expected from usual form factors)

E-GPDs might be more affected by  
the value of the nucleon boost

**GPDs results will be compared with experimental data when they become available!**

- 1 Generalized parton distributions
- 2 Twist-3 parton distribution functions**
- 3 Conclusions

## Beyond twist-2 distributions: twist-3 PDFs

Some properties of twist-3 PDFs:

information about quark-gluon-quark correlations

connections with TMDs

suppressed as  $1/Q$  in relation to twist-2 PDFs in structure functions

### Helicity $g_T$ PDF

$x$ -dependence not known in phenomenology **Lattice QCD?**

can we test the Wandzura-Wilczek (WW) approximation?

[ S. Wandzura and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett.72B, 195, 1977]

$g_T(x)$  may be obtained by:

$$g_T^{WW}(x) = \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} g_1(y)$$

$g_1$  : helicity twist-2

) the study of the WW approximation gives direct information about the importance of twist-3 operators

# $g_T^u d(x)$ from the quasi-PDF approach

$g_T^u d(x)$  extracted from:

Matrix element:  $M_{g_T} = \langle N(P) | (0)_{5j} W(0; z) (z) | N(P) \rangle$   
 $j = x; y, \quad P = (iE; 0; 0; P_3)$

Fourier transform to momentum space  $(x)$

$$\text{Quasi-}g_T: g_T(x; ; P_3) = 2 P_3 \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{dz}{4} e^{ixP_3 z} M_{g_T}(P_3; z)$$

Reconstruction through Backus-Gilbert method [J. Karpie et al, JHEP 04 (2019) 057]

Matching procedure

$$g_T(x; ) = \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{d}{j j} C ; \overline{xP_3} g_T \frac{x}{-}; ; P_3$$

[S. Bhattacharya, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, A. Metz, A. Scapellato, F. Steens, (2020), arXiv:2005.10939, accepted in PRD]

## Results for $g_T^{u,d}(x)$

Ensemble:  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  twisted mass fermions & clover term

$a = 0.093$  fm,  $V = 64 \times 32^3$ ,  $m_\pi = 270$  MeV

[S. Bhattacharya, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou,

A. Metz, A. Scapellato, F. Steens, (2020), arXiv:2004.04130]

$$P_3 = 1.67 \text{ GeV}$$

Helicity twist-3 is suppressed only for  $0.3 < x < 0.5$

$g_T$  and  $g_T^{WW}$  are consistent for a large  $x$ -range (but violations of WW approximation can still be at the level of 40% for  $x \leq 0.4$ )

- 1 Generalized parton distributions
- 2 Twist-3 parton distribution functions
- 3 Conclusions



### Using the quasi-distribution approach, first lattice evaluation of:

#### Twist-2 ( $u$ $d$ ) GPDs

- ! twisted mass fermions,  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  at  $M \sim 270$  MeV
- ! laborious calculation (GPDs multi-dimensional quantities -  $P_3; ; t$ )
- !  $x$ -dependence of  $H$  and  $E$  extracted at  $z = 0$
- ! statistical errors on  $H(x)$  allow qualitative comparison with unpolarized PDFs

#### Twist-3 $g_T^{u,d}(x)$ PDF

- ! twisted mass fermions,  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  at  $M \sim 270$  MeV
- ! matching kernel investigated within this work
- ! first *ab initio* check of the Wandura-Wilczek approximation

### Using the quasi-distribution approach, first lattice evaluation of:

#### Twist-2 ( $u$ $d$ ) GPDs

- ! twisted mass fermions,  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  at  $M \sim 270$  MeV
- ! laborious calculation (GPDs multi-dimensional quantities -  $P_3; ; t$ )
- !  $x$ -dependence of  $H$  and  $E$  extracted at  $z = 0$
- ! statistical errors on  $H(x)$  allow qualitative comparison with unpolarized PDFs

#### Twist-3 $g_T^{u,d}(x)$ PDF

- ! twisted mass fermions,  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  at  $M \sim 270$  MeV
- ! matching kernel investigated within this work
- ! first *ab initio* check of the Wandura-Wilczek approximation

### Various systematics need to be addressed:

cutoff effects, finite volume effects, truncation errors in the matching, etc.

*Thank you very much  
for your attention*