Update from Mainz on $a_{\mu}^{ m hvp}$

SIMON KUBERSKI FOR THE MAINZ LATTICE GROUP

Seventh Plenary Workshop of the Muon g-2 Theory Initiative, KEK, September 11, 2024



Funded by the European Union



a_{μ}^{hvp} from lattice QCD



 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

$$a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$$

$a_{\mu}^{ m hvp}$ from lattice QCD



 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

 $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$

► Intermediate distance (√):
 [Cê et al., 2206.06582]

$a_{\mu}^{ m hvp}$ from lattice QCD



 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

 $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$

- ► Intermediate distance (√):
 [Cê et al., 2206.06582]
- Short distance (√, this talk): [SK et al., 2401.11895]



 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

 $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$

- ► Intermediate distance (√):
 [Cè et al., 2206.06582]
- Short distance (√, this talk): [SK et al., 2401.11895]
- Long distance (\$\sqrt{}\$, this talk): [to be published]

$a_{\mu}^{ m hvp}$ from lattice QCD



 Use windows in the time-momentum representation to compute
 [Blum et al., 1801.07224]

 $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}} = (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{SD}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{ID}} + (a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{hvp}})^{\mathrm{LD}}$

- ► Intermediate distance (√): [Cê et al., 2206.06582]
- Short distance (√, this talk): [SK et al., 2401.11895]
- Long distance (\$\sqrt\$, this talk): [to be published]
- \rightarrow Our goal: update Mainz/CLS 19 [Gérardin et al., 1904.03120].

THE MAINZ/CLS SETUP

 $a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp}$ from 2+1 flavors of ${\rm O}(a)$ improved Wilson-clover fermions

$2+1\ {\rm flavor}\ {\rm CLS}\ {\rm ensembles}$



- Six values of $a \in [0.039, 0.099]$ fm.
- Open boundary conditions in the temporal direction.
- $a \operatorname{Tr}[M_q] = 2am_l + am_s = \text{const.}$ and $m_s \approx m_s^{\text{phys}}$ to stabilize the strange-quark interpolation.

• New ensemble / • significantly improved statistics since [Gérardin et al., 1904.03120].

Generating a third ensemble with $m_{\pi} \approx m_{\pi}^{\text{phys}}$: F300 with 256×128^3 at 0.05 fm, \rightarrow increase precision and further constrain $(am_{\pi})^2$ effects.

COMPUTATIONAL SETUP

■ Work in isospin decomposition of the electromagnetic current

 $j_{\mu}^{\text{em}} = \frac{2}{3}\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}u - \frac{1}{3}\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}d - \frac{1}{3}\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}s + \frac{2}{3}\bar{c}\gamma_{\mu}c + \ldots = j_{\mu}^{I=1} + j_{\mu}^{I=0} + \frac{2}{3}\bar{c}\gamma_{\mu}c + \ldots ,$

 \blacksquare O(a) improved correlation functions with

- ▶ local-local (*LL*) and local-conserved (*LC*) vector currents
- two different lines of constant physics for the O(a) improvement (set 1/ set 2).
- Finite-volume correction via spacelike [Hansen and Patella, 1904.10010, 2004.03935] and timelike [Meyer, 1105.1892] [Lellouch and Lüscher, hep-lat/0003023] pion formfactor.
- Scale setting with f_{π} (f_{π} -rescaling [1103.4818, Xu et al.], [Gérardin et al., 1904.03120])
 - $\blacktriangleright f_{\pi}\mbox{-rescaling reduces chiral dependence of the isovector contribution .}$
 - No consistent picture for the physical values of flow scales [FLAG23].
 - Avoids double counting of systematic uncertainties.
 - Small contribution of f_K enters as well suppressed by 10^{-1} to 10^{-2} w.r.t. f_{π} .

THE SHORT DISTANCE CONTRIBUTION

[SK ET AL., 2401.11895]

$a_{\mu}^{ m hvp}$ at short distances

Cutoff effects are the main concern at short distances, especially those of O(a² log(a)) [Della Morte et al., 0807.1120][Cè et al., 2106.15293] [Sommer et al., 2211.15750]:
 removal via perturbative QCD in the spacelike regime at high energies Q².

Starting from the well-known formula [Bernecker and Meyer, 1107.4388]

$$(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})^{\rm SD} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty {\rm d}t \, w^{\rm SD}(t) \widetilde{K}(t) G(t) \,,$$

with the short-distance window $w^{
m SD}(t)$, we change to a modified QED kernel via

$$\begin{split} w^{\rm SD}(t)\tilde{K}(t) &\to {\rm K}_{\rm sub}^{\rm SD}(Q,t) = w^{\rm SD}(t)\tilde{K}(t) - w^{\rm SD}(0)\frac{16\pi^2m_{\mu}^2}{9Q^2}f(Q,t) \\ \text{where } f(Q,t) &= \frac{16}{Q^2}\sin^4\left(\frac{Qt}{4}\right) \text{ is the kernel to compute} \\ \Pi(Q^2) - \Pi((Q/2)^2) &= \int_0^\infty {\rm d}t\,f(Q,t)G(t)\,. \end{split}$$

THE REGULATED TMR KERNEL



Based on the Adler function $D(Q^2)$, we evaluate [Baikov et al., 0801.1821, 1001.3606],

$$\Pi(Q^2) - \Pi((Q/2)^2) = \frac{\pi^2}{12} \int_{(Q/2)^2}^{Q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}Q'^2}{Q'^2} D(Q'^2)$$

and expect good convergence of the perturbative series [Jegerlehner, 2020].

$(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m SD}$ in the isovector channel



- Tiny uncertainties, benign chiral dependence, significant cutoff effects.
- Use tree-level improvement to reduce the cutoff effects.
- Combine with strange, disconnected, charm and valence connected isospin-breaking contributions for the full $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{SD}}$.

Full result for $(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m SD}$



Stability under variation of the modification scale Q.

- Small but noticeable shift when $a^2 \log(a)$ effects are not removed (1/Q = 0).
- Final uncertainty dominated by systematics from the continuum extrapolation.

THE LONG DISTANCE CONTRIBUTION

BLINDED

Our goal

Determine with $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{LD}}$ the last building block for the full a_{μ}^{hvp} .

Blinded analysis

- Noise reduction techniques to get to precision in the isovector channel:
 - **Low-mode averaging** (LMA).
 - Spectral reconstruction of the $\pi\pi$ contribution.
- Finite-volume effects are sizable:
 - Correct to $Lm_{\pi} = 4.29$ for $a \neq 0$ prior to extrapolations.
 - Correct to $L \to \infty$ in the continuum at physical mass.
- Significant scale dependence of the long-distance tail.

Blinding strategy for $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{LD}}$

- We decided to introduce blinding at the stage of the analysis by modification of the QED kernel function $\tilde{K}(t)$ in the integrand of the TMR:
 - Multiplicative offset.
 - Artificial cutoff effects (one kernel for each value of β).
 - ...? I still don't know the details.
- Use five different sets of modified kernels.
- Unblinding strategy:
 - 1. Cross-check each step of the blinded analysis.
 - 2. Agree on final analysis setup. Freeze.
 - 3. Relative unblinding between the five sets of kernels in the continuum.
 - 4. Absolute unblinding of kernels ightarrow repeat the same analysis with the true kernel.

NOISE REDUCTION: LOW-MODE AVERAGING



• Use low-mode averaging for all ensembles where $m_{\pi} < 280 \,\mathrm{MeV}$.

- Left: $m_{\pi} = 132 \,\mathrm{MeV}$, $a = 0.064 \,\mathrm{fm}$ (E250)
- Right: $m_{\pi} = 177 \,\mathrm{MeV}$, $a = 0.049 \,\mathrm{fm}$ (E300)

Autocorrelation becomes a limiting factor at fine lattice spacing.

NOISE REDUCTION: SPECTRAL RECONSTRUCTION



[Nolan Miller @ Lattice24]:

- Careful extraction of energies and overlaps.
- Work towards computing the timelike pion form factor.

- Spectral reconstruction of the isovector correlation function on E250 at m_{π}^{phys} .
- Solves the signal-to-noise problem, but LMA is more precise for t < 2.5 fm.
- Reduces the uncertainty on this ensemble by another factor of 2: 0.4% for a_{μ}^{hvp} .

FINITE-SIZE CORRECTION: CONSISTENCY CHECK



 $\circ m_{\pi} = 286 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ $\circ L: 3 \,\mathrm{fm} \rightarrow 4.1 \,\mathrm{fm}$ $\circ m_{\pi}L: 4.4 \rightarrow 5.9$

 $\circ a = 0.064 \, \text{fm}$

Compare finite-size effects in the data with the two model predictions.

Excellent agreement (with large statistical uncertainties).

$(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m LD}$ in the isovector channel: chiral dependence



Dependence of
$$(a_{\mu}^{3,3})^{\text{LD}}$$

on $y = m_{\pi}^2/(8\pi f_{\pi}^2)$.

- Data is corrected to common $Lm_{\pi} = 4.29$.
- Tight constraint at m_{π}^{phys} : E250 at 0.7% precision.

- Chiral dependence well constrained across the range of pion masses.
- Need to include a term that is divergent in the chiral limit for good fit quality. → reduced chiral dependence when using f_{π} -rescaling.

$(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m LD}$ in the isovector channel: cutoff dependence



- Dependence of $(a_{\mu}^{3,3})^{\text{LD}}$ on a^2 at physical quark masses.
- Four sets of data (colors) differ by O(*a*²).
- Each line represents a fit in the model average.
- Include terms à la $[\alpha_{\rm s}(1/a)]^{0.395} a^2$ [Husung, 2409.00776].
- Higher order cutoff effects have a small weight in the model average.
- After model average: statistics dominated accuracy of 1.3%.

$(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m LD}$ in the isoscalar channel



- Quark-disconnected diagram contributes significantly to noise in the isoscalar channel, despite using multiple noise reduction techniques [Cè et al., 2203.08676].
- Bounding method in the isoscalar channel to tame the long-distance tail.
- Leading finite-size effects of light-connected and disconnected cancel.

THE LONG DISTANCE CONTRIBUTION

UNBLINDED



■ 5 blinded kernels



■ 5 blinded kernels agree after relative unblinding



■ 5 blinded kernels agree after relative unblinding and with the true kernel.



5 blinded kernels agree after relative unblinding and with the true kernel.

Unblinded results in finite volume and the Mainz world

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{LD,I1}}(Lm_{\pi} = 4.29) = 362.0(3.7)_{\text{stat}}(2.74)_{\text{syst}}[4.57]$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{LD,I0}}(Lm_{\pi} = 4.29) = 44.5(1.2)_{\text{stat}}(1.09)_{\text{syst}}[1.63]$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{LD,c}} = 0.0141(4)_{\text{stat}}(6)_{\text{syst}}[7]$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{LD}} - a_{\mu}^{\text{LD}}(Lm_{\pi} = 4.29) = 16.7(1.5)$$



■ Comparison with RBC/UKQCD 24 in different isoQCD schemes: Mainz vs. BMW20.

OVERVIEW OF RESULTS FOR $(a_{\mu}^{ m hvp})^{ m LD}$



- Comparison with RBC/UKQCD 24 in different isoQCD schemes: Mainz vs. BMW20.
- Scale setting with w_0 induces large and higher-order cutoff effects \rightarrow larger statistical and systematic uncertainties!



Comparison with RBC/UKQCD 24 in different isoQCD schemes: Mainz vs. BMW20.

- Scale setting with w_0 induces large and higher-order cutoff effects \rightarrow larger statistical and systematic uncertainties!
- Ignore scale uncertainty for $w_0^{\text{phys}}(\text{BMW20})$ in the comparison \Rightarrow vs. \blacksquare .
- Shift in relation to tensions in flow scale determinations [FLAG23]?

Contributions to a_{μ}^{hvp} in ISOQCD



- Compute contributions to a_{μ}^{hvp} in isoQCD (Mainz world) by combinations with $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{SD}}$ and $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{ID}}$.
- We (will) publish the derivatives w.r.t. the input that defines our scheme. See [Portelli] for a comparison of schemes.
- $a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp,l}}$ determined to 0.8% precision
- Excellent compatibility of Mainz/CLS 19 with Mainz/CLS 24.

Contributions to a_{μ}^{hvp} in ISOQCD





- Compute contributions to a_{μ}^{hvp} in isoQCD (Mainz world) by combinations with $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{SD}}$ and $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{ID}}$.
- We (will) publish the derivatives w.r.t. the input that defines our scheme. See [Portelli] for a comparison of schemes.
- $a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp,l}}$ determined to 0.8% precision
- Excellent compatibility of Mainz/CLS 19 with Mainz/CLS 24.
- Shift in disconnected is understood: leads to the dominant shift in a^{hvp}_µ.



Achievements

- High statistical precision at m_{π}^{phys} and excellent control of the m_{π} dependence.
- Large span of lattice spacings to control the continuum extrapolation.
- Compute full isoQCD $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})^{\rm LD}$ to 1.3% precision (statistics dominated).

Outlook

- \blacksquare More data at fine lattice spacing and $m_\pi^{\rm phys}$ is being computed.
- Strong scale dependence in the long-distance regime:
 - We observe a strong scheme dependence: due to differences in the scale setting?
 - The global status of gradient flow scales is unsatisfactory [FLAG23].
- Need to include isospin breaking effects to compute the full a_{μ}^{hvp} .

ISOSPIN BREAKING CORRECTIONS

- Compute leading-order effects on QCD gauge ensembles.
- Quark-connected contribution to a^{hvp}_µ: QED_L in the TMR [Andreas Risch @ Converging on QCD+QED prescriptions, Edinburgh]
 ▶ Has entered in our estimates for (a^{hvp}_µ)^{ID} and (a^{hvp}_µ)^{SD} already.
- Quark-disconnected contribution to a_{μ}^{hvp} : QED_∞ in coordinate space [Biloshytskyi et al., 2209.02149] [Julian Parrino @ Lattice24] [Dominik Erb @ Lattice24]
- Scale setting via the baryon spectrum in QCD + QED: QED_L [Alexander Segner @ MITP Workshop on Isospin-Breaking Effects]
- We aim to move beyond the electroquenched approximation in future work.

QED CORRECTIONS TO THE HVP



 $\blacksquare \ \mathrm{QED}_\infty$: Photon propagator in the continuum in infinite volume

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp,NLO}} = -\frac{e^2}{2} \int_{x,y,z} H_{\mu\sigma}(z) \delta_{\nu\rho} \Big[G_0(y-x) \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\sigma}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\sigma}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\sigma}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\sigma}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\sigma}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\sigma}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\sigma}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\sigma}(y) j_{\sigma}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\sigma}(y) j_{\sigma}(y) j_{\sigma}(y) j_{\sigma}(y) j_{\sigma}(y) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} + \text{counterterms} \Big]_{\Lambda} \langle j_{\mu}(y) j_{\sigma}(y) j_{\sigma}$$

 \blacksquare After renormalization take limit $\Lambda \to \infty$

The (2+2)a contribution



- UV finite QED correction [Julian Parrino @ Lattice24].
- Chiral extrapolation guided by pheno model including π^0 , η , η' and $\pi^+\pi^-$ contribution.
- Effective chiral dependence $a_{\mu}^{(2+2)a,\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} \propto m_{\pi}^{-3}$
- Preliminary result:

 $a_{\mu}^{(2+2)a-ll} = -5.94(0.99) \cdot 10^{-10}$



TOTAL ISOSPIN VIOLATING PART AT SU(3) SYMMETRIC POINT

The isospin violating part:

 $\begin{aligned} a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp,NLO}} &\to a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp,NLO},38} \\ \langle j_{\mu}(z) j_{\nu}(y) j_{\rho}(x) j_{\sigma}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} \\ &\to \langle j_{\mu}^{3}(z) j_{\nu}^{em}(y) j_{\rho}^{em}(x) j_{\sigma}^{8}(0) \rangle_{\text{QCD}} \end{aligned}$

- Continuum extrapolation with volume term: $f_{\text{fit}}(a, m_{\pi}L) = B + C \cdot a^2 + D \cdot e^{-\frac{m_{\pi}L}{2}}$
- Result is constant within error for different Λ \rightarrow Plateau is reached
- Preliminary result: $a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp,NLO,38}} = 0.74(25) \cdot 10^{-10}$



FULL ISOSPIN BREAKING CORRECTION



Illustration of the

- fully connected data (circles, positive)
- and the (2 + 2)*a* contribution (diamonds, negative).

Perform a **preliminary** combined fit

$$\begin{split} a^{\mathrm{IB},\mathrm{conn}}_{\mu} &= \frac{34}{81} \frac{A}{m_{\pi}^3} + b \, m_{\pi}^2 + c + 0.218 \log\left(\frac{m_V^2}{m_{\pi}^2}\right) \\ a^{\mathrm{IB},(2+2\mathrm{a})}_{\mu} &= \frac{50}{81} \frac{A}{m_{\pi}^3} + d \end{split}$$

- No cutoff effects resolved.
- Estimate the missing piece from the $\pi^+\pi^-$ loop contribution

$$a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{IB},(3+1\mathrm{a})} = -\frac{14}{81} \frac{A}{m_{\pi}^3}$$

 Combine for an estimate for IB effects in the electroquenched approximation.

THE FULL HVP



[BNL g-2, hep-ex/0602035] [FNAL g-2, 2104.03281, 2308.06230]

- The estimate of IB corrections allows to compute a **preliminary** a_{μ}^{hvp} .
- Our result supports the no new physics scenario.
- Ongoing work to compute IB corrections. So far
 - no IB in scale setting
 - electroquenched approximation
 - ► preliminary estimate

HADRONIC RUNNING OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC COUPLING AND THE ELECTROWEAK MIXING ANGLE

[ALESSANDRO CONIGLI @ LATTICE24]

ELECTROWEAK COUPLINGS

- $\alpha(-Q^2)$ and $\sin^2 \theta_W(-Q^2)$ as relevant quantities for precision tests of SM \rightarrow plan to update [Cè et al., 2203.08676]
- Pushing to high Q² to achieve increased precision at the Z pole

 $\bar{\Pi}(Q^2) = \left[\Pi(Q^2) - \Pi(Q^2/4)\right] \\ + \left[\Pi(Q^2/4) - \Pi(0)\right]$

- Subtracted kernel to drop t⁴ term, thus canceling a² log(a) cutoff effects [SK et al., 2401.11895]
- Reduction of cutoff effects in the short Euclidean distance with tree-level improvement.



 $\uparrow\,$ Isovector chiral extrapolation at $Q^2=9\;{\rm GeV}^2$

THE RUNNING WITH ENERGY [PRELIMINARY]

- **Preliminary** results for $\overline{\Pi}(-Q^2) \overline{\Pi}(-Q^2/4)$ in the range $0 \le Q^2 \le 9 \text{ GeV}^2$.
- Rational approximation of the running through a multi-points Padé Ansatz [Aubin et al., 1205.3695] [Cè et al., 2203.08676].

$$\bar{\Pi}(-Q^2) \approx \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{M} a_j Q^{2j}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} b_k Q^{2k}}$$



• Determination of $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{\text{LD}}$ allows to update the Mainz result for a_{μ}^{hvp} in isoQCD:

 $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp,LO}})_{\text{isoQCD}} = 728.6(4.3)_{\text{stat}}(3.6)_{\text{syst}}[5.5][0.75\%]$

- We plan to publish our latest result in the near future.
- Ongoing work on the isospin breaking corrections:
 Preliminary result indicates an insignificant negative contribution.
- The results support the no new physics scenario.
- Data set allows to consider related observables such as the running of $\Delta \alpha_{had}$ or $a_{\mu}^{hvp,NLO}$ (see the poster by Arnau Beltran).

■ The scheme for isoQCD [Risch and Wittig, 2112.00878]

$$\begin{split} m_{\pi^0} &\propto m_{\rm u} + m_{\rm d} \,, \qquad m_{K^+}^2 + m_{K^0}^2 - m_{\pi^+}^2 \propto m_{\rm s} \,, \\ m_{\rm u} &= m_{\rm d} \,, \qquad \alpha_{\rm em} = 0 \end{split}$$

corresponding to

 $m_{\pi} = 134.9768(5) \text{ MeV}, \quad m_K = 495.011(10) \text{ MeV}.$

The scheme for QCD + QED

$$\begin{split} m_{\pi^0} \propto m_{\rm u} + m_{\rm d} \,, & m_{K^+}^2 + m_{K^0}^2 - m_{\pi^+}^2 \propto m_{\rm s} \,, \\ m_{K^+}^2 - m_{K^0}^2 - m_{\pi^+}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2 \propto m_{\rm u} - m_{\rm d} \,, & \alpha_{\rm em} \end{split}$$

Scale setting with the pion decay constant in the iso-symmetric theory,

$$f_{\pi} = 130.56(14) \text{ MeV}$$
 and $f_{K\pi} = \frac{2}{3}(f_K + \frac{1}{2}f_{\pi})$ with $f_K = 157.2(5) \text{ MeV}$, no IB in scale setting so far!