# EFT for inflation and structure formation

Mehrdad Mirbabayi

ICTP

KEK, Nov 6, 2024

# Effective Field Theory (EFT)

EFT is a modern name for an old practice:

- 1. Identify the relevant Degrees Of Freedom (DOFs),
- 2. Identify the symmetries,
- 3. Write a local model, compatible with the symmetries.

Examples: Hydrodynamics, General Relativity, Fermi Theory, String Theory, ...

### Power of EFT

The locality and symmetry make EFTs very predictive.

- The leading behavior at large distance and long time is universal
  - 1. Turbulent cascade (Hydro)
  - 2. Kerr black hole (GR),
  - 3. Parity violation (Weak interactions), ....

Deviation are systematically organized via a derivative expansion.



1. EFT of Inflation

2. EFT for open systems and dissipation

3. EFT of LSS

#### Single-Field Inflation

Simplest model of inflation adds one scalar field to GR

$$S=rac{1}{2}\int\sqrt{-g}[M_{
m pl}^2R-(\partial\phi)^2-2V(\phi)],$$

with a sufficiently flat potential:

$$rac{M_{
m pl}^2 V_{,\phi}^2}{V^2} \ll 1, \qquad \left|rac{M_{
m pl}^2 V_{,\phi\phi}}{V}
ight| \ll 1.$$

This is already an EFT with cutoff  $\leq M_{\rm pl}$ .

### Cosmology as a BSM lab

Many inflationary models solve flatness and horizon puzzles.

- They leave their signature in cosmological perturbations;
  - 1. Observed adiabatic scalar perturbations:  $\delta\phi \rightarrow \zeta \rightarrow \delta\rho/\rho$
  - 2. Illusive tensor perturbations:  $\gamma_{ij}$ .
- It's tempting to use observations to learn about inflationary physics.
- $H_{\rm inf}$  could be as high as  $10^{14}$ GeV,  $\Delta \phi \gg M_{\rm pl}$ .

### Cosmology as a BSM lab, $V(\phi)$

Different  $V(\phi)$  can be distinguished using the observed  $P_{\zeta}(k)$  and constrained  $P_{\gamma}(k)$ :



### Cosmology as a BSM lab, derivative interactions

> Flatness of the potential implies an approximate shift symmetry.

• There can be large derivative interactions like  $(\partial \phi)^4$  or

$$(\partial \phi)^2 \rightarrow -\sqrt{1 - (\partial \phi)^2}, \qquad \text{DBI.}$$

> These can be distinguished by their non-Gaussianity predictions

$$\left\langle \zeta_{\vec{k}_1}\zeta_{\vec{k}_2}\zeta_{\vec{k}_3}\right\rangle \sim B(k_1,k_2,k_3).$$

# Systematic approach to NG

- Information in  $B(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  is more than P(k).
- In noisy data, we need templates to look for signal.
- EFT of Infl. separates the theory of background from perturbations to
  - 1. reduce many underlying models to what is essential for predicting  $\zeta, \gamma_{ij}$  spectra,
  - 2. organize the set of templates compatible with symmetries.

# EFT of Inflation

- The rolling inflaton field introduces preferred time slices,  $\phi(t_u, \vec{x}) = \text{constant.}$
- Single-field inflation  $\equiv$  Massive gravity

$$S = M_{\rm pl}^2 \int \sqrt{-g} [R - 3H^2(t) - \dot{H}(t)\delta g^{00} + c(t)(\delta g^{00})^2 + \cdots]$$

- Different underlying models differ in the coefficients of the expansion.
- This allows a systematic study of NG templates:

$$B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = f_{\mathrm{NL}}^{\mathrm{eq}} F^{\mathrm{eq}} + f_{\mathrm{NL}}^{\mathrm{orth}} F^{\mathrm{orth}} + \cdots$$

### Pros and cons

#### Pros

- Ideal for precision modeling,
- Conceptual; identification of  $\zeta$  with Stueckelberg field,  $t \rightarrow t + \pi$ .
  - Eg. revealing a universal coupling during particle production:

$$\mathcal{L}=\pi\partial_{\mu}\,T_{0}^{\mu}.$$

 Conceptual; identification of the symmetry breaking pattern with super-fluids.

#### Cons

- ► Underlying physics is important and we have theoretical priors.
- Most interesting variants of vanilla slow-roll inflation are not captured.

# Beyond single-field inflation

Observations have ruled out simplest single-field models, which motivates multi-field ones:

- Extra scalar fields coupled to the inflaton.
- Models with particle production, gauge field production, axion inflation.
- Warm inflation.
- Different symmetry breaking patterns (solid, chromonatural, gaugid, ...).

# EFT for open systems and dissipation

Warm inflation Fang 80', Moss 85', Yokoyama, Maede 86', Berera, Fang 95',...

Inflation is a theory of initial condition.

- It erases the pre-existing structures by stretching them to unobservably long wavelength.
- It makes the inflationary universe classically cold and empty. Repeated particle production keeps the universe warm and populated.
- It stretches vacuum fluctuations in the UV into the observed cosmological perturbations.
   The origin of what we see are the subhorizon thermal fluctuations.

### Energy budget

Warm inflation needs a continuous energy transfer

 $\phi \rightarrow X$  (another sector)

such that

$$rac{
ho_X}{
ho_{
m tot}}\sim\epsilon$$
 small but approximately fixed.

Assuming thermalization, the temperature can be much greater than H:

$$T\gg H$$
 is compatible with  $T^4\ll M_{
m pl}^2 H^2.$ 

#### Background evolution

Particle production back-reacts on the inflaton evolution

$$\ddot{\phi} + (3H + \gamma)\dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0,$$

$$\dot{\rho}_X + 4H\rho_X = \gamma \dot{\phi}^2 + \cdots$$

This can have a warm slow-roll attractor.

Therefore, not only conceptually different but also the predictions of warm inflation for a given  $V(\phi)$  are dramatically different from cold inflation. E.g. the number of e-folds.

# Origin of perturbations

The transfer of energy  $\phi \rightarrow X$  is not uniform. It is a random microscopic process.



 This induces large (effectively classical) fluctuations already inside the horizon

 $\delta\phi\gg\delta\phi_{\rm vac}.$ 

- By the central limit theorem the observed spectrum is nearly Gaussian if  $T \gg H$ .
- ▶ But the non-Gaussian features can be distinct from other scenarios. (We are sensitive to O(10<sup>-4</sup>) deviation from Gaussianity.)

#### EFT for Warm inflation: fluid + inflaton

 $\blacktriangleright$  At  $\lambda \gg 1/T$  the thermal bath is described by a fluid

$$T_{\mu\nu}=\frac{4}{3}\rho u_{\mu}u_{\nu}+\frac{1}{3}\rho g_{\mu\nu},$$

plus  $\mathcal{O}(H/T)$  dissipative corrections.

However, there is one dissipative term that is essential

$$-\nabla^2 \phi + V'(\phi) = \frac{1}{f} O_X = \underbrace{-\gamma(\rho) u^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi}_{\langle\rangle \text{on long-} \lambda \text{ bgr}} + \underbrace{\xi}_{\text{noise}}$$

This couples  $\phi$  to the fluid:

$$\nabla^{\nu} T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \phi (\gamma u^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi - \xi).$$

Bastero-Gil, Berera, Moss, Ramos '14

# Predictivity of EFT

• We need  $\gamma(\rho)$  and the statistics of  $\xi$  to calculate correlators of  $\zeta$ .

- ▶ If  $[O_X] = M^4$ , then  $\gamma \propto T^3$ . By Fluctuation-Dissipation theorem  $\langle \xi(x_{\rm ph})\xi(y_{\rm ph}) \rangle \approx 2\gamma T \ \delta^4(x_{\rm ph} - y_{\rm ph}).$
- This allows to compute the correlation functions as a function of  $\gamma/H$ , without the knowledge of the underlying mechanism.
- The only reliable underlying mechanism is sphaleron heating Berghaus, Graham, Kaplan '19.

### Warm $\phi^4$ inflation MM, Gruzinov '22



Mehrdad Mirbabayi (ICTP)

### Warm inflation at the verge of discovery!



Open EFTs and stochastic inflation

#### Break-down of perturbations theory

Light fields have large excursions

$$\left\langle \phi^2 \right\rangle \sim \frac{H^4}{m^2}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  The ratio of  $\lambda\phi^4$  interaction to the mass term is

$$\frac{\lambda \phi^4}{m^2 \phi^2} \sim \frac{\lambda H^4}{m^4}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  This can be large, even for a technically natural mass  $m^2 \sim \lambda H^2$ 

$$rac{\lambda \phi^4}{m^2 \phi^2} \sim rac{1}{\lambda} \gg 1.$$

#### Stochastic method in cosmological slicing

Starobinsky showed that

$$\varphi(t) = \int d^3 \vec{x} \ W_L(a(t)\vec{x}) \ \phi(t,\vec{x}), \qquad W_L \text{ a window-function}$$

satisfies the Fokker-Planck eq. as in Brownian motion

$$\partial_t p(t,\varphi) = rac{1}{8\pi^2} \partial_{\varphi}^2 p(t,\varphi) + rac{1}{3} \partial_{\varphi} (V'(\varphi) p(t,\varphi)).$$



### Stochastic method in the static patch

▶ In the static patch there are thermal fluctuations.

There is a close analogy with Browning motion:

$$arphi(t) = \int d^3 ec{x} W_L(ec{x}) \phi(t,ec{x}),$$

in the environment of all other DOFs, which have short-lived correlations.

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

# Open EFT for $\varphi$

The reduced density matrix

$$p(t,\varphi) = [\operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{env.}}\rho(t)]_{\varphi_L = \varphi_R = \varphi}$$

satisfies FP eq.

$$\partial_t p(t,\varphi) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \partial_{\varphi}^2 p(t,\varphi) + \frac{1}{3} \partial_{\varphi} (V'(\varphi) p(t,\varphi)) + \cdots$$

- One can show that FP is just the leading term in a systematic expansion in  $1/(Ht_{\lambda}) \sim \sqrt{\lambda}$ .
- > The evolution remains Markovian to all orders, as in hydrodynamics.

# EFT of LSS

### Cosmological perturbations as seen today

 Cosmological perturbations grow during matter domination and collapse.

 To extract information from LSS surveys, this nonlinear evolution has to be accounted for.

 EFT of LSS is a tool to organize the nonlinear effects without making assumptions about galaxy formation details.

This is important because surveys are reaching sub-percent precision in the weakly nonlinear regime.

#### Cosmic fluid?

> The idea is to treat matter at large scales as a pressure-less fluid

$$\partial_t(a^3\rho) + \partial_i(a^2\rho v^i) = 0,$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v}^i + H \mathbf{v}^i + \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{v}^j \partial_j \mathbf{v}^i + \frac{1}{a} \partial_i \phi = [-\frac{1}{a^2 \rho} \partial_j \tau^{ij}],$$

where  $\rho = \bar{\rho}(1 + \delta)$ ,  $v^i$  is peculiar velocity.

This is not a normal fluid, because

- 1. It's atoms (halos) are getting bigger by mergers.
- 2. The collision time between the atoms is the age of the universe.

### Cosmic fluid?

• Generically, an EFT with no separation of time-scales is useless.

- ▶ EFT of LSS is useful because we only want to make a limited use:
  - start from  $\delta_{\rm in} \ll 1$  and evolve until  $\delta < 1$ .
- In contrast, classical EFTs like hydrodynamics and GR are fully nonlinear systems.
  - They break when gradients are big (e.g.  $R \sim M_{\rm pl}^2$ ), not perturbations  $(h_{\mu\nu} \sim 1)$ .

#### Perturbative expansion

► We can expand

$$\delta(t,\vec{k}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int F_n(t,\vec{k};\{\vec{q}_i\}) \delta_{\mathrm{in}}(\vec{q}_1) \cdots \delta_{\mathrm{in}}(\vec{q}_n).$$

and keep a finite number of terms for a given precision.

> At a fixed order in  $\delta_{in}$ , any non-local term on the RHS of

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v}^i + H \mathbf{v}^i + \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{v}^j \partial_j \mathbf{v}^i + \frac{1}{a} \partial_i \phi = [-\frac{1}{a^2 \rho} \partial_j \tau^{ij}],$$

is equivalent to a finite number of local terms.

• This allows a systematic way of producing templates  $\tilde{F}_n$  that capture the short scale unknowns.

# EFT of LSS, pros and cons

#### Pros

- It is robust.
- It can reach very high precision at low k.

#### Cons

- We know some things about galaxy formation, and interested to learn about it.
- Introduces lots of parameters, and throws away short-distance data.

#### An application

#### Ivanov, Simonović, Zaldarriaga '19

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)