

Transition magnetic moments of $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ decuplet to $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ octet baryons

Harleen Dahiya

Department of Physics
Dr. B.R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology
Jalandhar

QNP2018 Tsukuba, JAPAN
November 13-17, 2018

Outline

- 1 Internal Structure of the Baryons
 - Quantum chromodynamics (QCD)
 - Proton Spin Problem
- 2 Chiral Constituent Quark Model (χ CQM)
 - Pion Cloud Mechanism
 - Chiral Symmetry Breaking
 - Successes of χ CQM
- 3 Transition Magnetic Moments
- 4 Results

Internal Structure

- Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) provides a fundamental description of hadronic and nuclear structure and dynamics.
- **Internal Structure:** The knowledge of internal structure of nucleon in terms of elementary quark and gluon degrees of freedom in QCD provide a basis for understanding more complex, strongly interacting matter.
- Knowledge has been rather limited because of **confinement** and it is still a big challenge to perform the calculations from the first principles of QCD.

Fundamental quantities

Electromagnetic Dirac and Pauli form factors: further related to the static low-energy observables

- **Structure:** Magnetic moments
Dirac theory ($1.0 \mu_N$) and experiment ($2.5 \mu_N$).
Proton is not an elementary Dirac particle but has an inner structure.
- **Size:** Spatial extension.
Proton charge distribution given by charge radius r_p .
- **Shape:** Nonspherical charge distribution.
Quadrupole moment of the transition $N \rightarrow \Delta$.
- Relation between the properties??

Quantum chromodynamics (QCD): present theory of strong interactions

- At high energies, (α_s is small), QCD can be used perturbatively.
- At low energies, (α_s becomes large), one has to use other methods such as effective Lagrangian models to describe physics.
- Wide range of applications ranging from the dynamics and structure of hadrons and nuclei to the properties and phases of hadronic matter at the earliest stages of the universe.
- New experimental tools are continually being developed to probe the non-perturbative structure of the theory, for example the hard diffractive reactions, semi-inclusive reactions, deeply virtual Compton scattering etc..
- Many fundamental questions have not been resolved. **The most challenging nonperturbative problem in QCD is to determine the structure and spectrum of hadrons in terms of their quark and gluon degrees of freedom.**

Proton Spin Problem: The driving question

- 1988 European Muon Collaboration (Valence quarks carry 30% of proton spin).
- Naive Quark Model contradicts this results (Based on Pure valence description: proton = $2u + d$).
"Proton spin crisis"
- Confirmed by the measurements of polarized structure functions of proton in the deep inelastic scattering (DIS) experiments by SMC, E142-3 and HERMES experiments.
- Provides evidence that the valence quarks of proton carry only a small fraction of its spin suggesting that they should be surrounded by an indistinct sea of quark-antiquark pairs.

Flavor Structure

- 1991 NMC result: Asymmetric nucleon sea ($\bar{d} > \bar{u}$).
Recently confirmed by E866 and HERMES.
- Measured quark sea asymmetry established that the study of the structure of the nucleon is intrinsically a nonperturbative phenomena.
- Sum Rules
 - Bjorken Sum Rule: $\Delta_3 = \Delta u - \Delta d$
 - Ellis-Jaffe Sum Rule: $\Delta_8 = \Delta u + \Delta d - 2\Delta s$
(Reduces to $\Delta_8 = \Delta\Sigma$ when $\Delta s = 0$)
 - Strange quark fraction: $f_s \simeq 0.10$
 - Gottfried Sum Rule: $I_G = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \int_0^1 [\bar{u}(x) - \bar{d}(x)] dx = 0.254 \pm 0.026$

Quark Sea

- Recently, a wide variety of accurately measured data have been accumulated for
 - static properties of hadrons: masses, electromagnetic moments, charge radii etc.
 - low energy dynamical properties: scattering lengths and decay rates etc.
- These lie in the non perturbative range of QCD.
- Flavor and spin structure of the nucleon is not limited to u and d quarks only.
- Non-perturbative effects explained only through the generation of “quark sea”.

Nonperturbative regime

- The direct calculations of these quantities from the first principle of QCD are extremely difficult, because they require non-perturbative methods.
- **Naive Quark Model** is able to provide an intuitive picture and successfully accounts for many of the low-energy properties of the hadrons in terms of the valence quarks.
- Techniques such as lattice gauge theory, QCD sum rules, and a wide variety of models have been developed to study this extremely interesting energy regime.

Chiral Constituent Quark Model

- χ CQM initiated by Weinberg and developed by Manohar and Georgi to explain the successes of NQM.
- The fluctuation process describing the effective Lagrangian is

$$q^{\uparrow\downarrow} \rightarrow \text{GB} + q'^{\downarrow\uparrow} \rightarrow (q\bar{q}') + q'^{\downarrow\uparrow}$$

$q\bar{q}' + q'$ constitute the sea quarks.

- Incorporates *confinement* and *chiral symmetry breaking*.
- “Justifies” the idea of constituent quarks.

- The GB field can be expressed in terms of the GBs and their transition probabilities as

$$\Phi' = \begin{pmatrix} P_\pi \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + P_\eta \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} + P_{\eta'} \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{3}} & P_\pi \pi^+ & P_K K^+ \\ P_\pi \pi^- & -P_\pi \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + P_\eta \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} + P_{\eta'} \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{3}} & P_K K^0 \\ P_K K^- & P_K \bar{K}^0 & -P_\eta \frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{6}} + P_{\eta'} \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The chiral fluctuations $u(d) \rightarrow d(u) + \pi^{+(-)}$, $u(d) \rightarrow s + K^{+(0)}$, $u(d, s) \rightarrow u(d, s) + \eta$, and $u(d, s) \rightarrow u(d, s) + \eta'$ are given in terms of the transition probabilities P_π , P_K , P_η and $P_{\eta'}$ respectively.

Pion Cloud Mechanism

- Quark sea is believed to originate from process such as virtual pion production.
- It is suggested that in the deep inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering, the lepton probe also scatters off the pion cloud surrounding the target proton. The $\pi^+(\bar{d}u)$ cloud, dominant in the process $p \rightarrow \pi^+ n$, leads to an excess of \bar{d} sea.
- However, this effect should be significantly reduced by the emissions such as $p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} + \pi^-$ with $\pi^-(\bar{u}d)$ cloud. Therefore, the pion cloud idea is not able to explain the significant $\bar{d} > \bar{u}$ asymmetry.
- This approach can be improved upon by adopting a mechanism which operates in the *interior* of the hadron.

Chiral Symmetry Breaking

- The dynamics of light quarks (u , d , and s) and gluons can be described by the QCD Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_a^{\mu\nu} + i\bar{\psi}_R \not{D}\psi_R + i\bar{\psi}_L \not{D}\psi_L - \bar{\psi}_R M\psi_L - \bar{\psi}_L M\psi_R,$$

$G_{\mu\nu}^a$ is the gluonic gauge field strength tensor, D^μ is the gauge-covariant derivative, M is the quark mass matrix and ψ_L and ψ_R are the left and right handed quark fields.

- Mass terms change sign as $\psi_R \rightarrow \psi_R$ and $\psi_L \rightarrow -\psi_L$ under the chiral transformation ($\psi \rightarrow \gamma^5\psi$), the Lagrangian no longer remains invariant. If neglected, the Lagrangian will have global chiral symmetry of the $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ group. Hadrons do not display parity doublets \rightarrow the chiral symmetry is believed to be spontaneously broken around a scale of 1 GeV as

$$SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \rightarrow SU(3)_{L+R}.$$

- As a consequence, there exists a set of massless particles, referred to as the Goldstone bosons (GBs), which are identified with the observed (π , K , η mesons).
- Within the region of QCD confinement scale ($\Lambda_{QCD} \simeq 0.1 - 0.3$ GeV) and the chiral symmetry breaking scale $\Lambda_{\chi SB}$, the constituent quarks, the octet of GBs (π , K , η mesons), and the *weakly* interacting gluons are the appropriate degrees of freedom.
- The effective interaction Lagrangian in this region can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \bar{\psi}(i\cancel{D} + \cancel{V})\psi + ig_A\bar{\psi}\cancel{A}\gamma^5\psi + \dots,$$

where g_A is the axial-vector coupling constant. The gluonic degrees of freedom can be neglected owing to small effect in the effective quark model at low energy scale. The vector and axial-vector currents V_μ and A_μ are defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_\mu \\ A_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^\dagger \partial_\mu \xi \pm \xi \partial_\mu \xi^\dagger),$$

where $\xi = \exp(2i\Phi/f_\pi)$, f_π is the pseudoscalar pion decay constant ($\simeq 93$ MeV).

- The field Φ describes the dynamics of GBs as

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \beta \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & \alpha K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \beta \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \alpha K^0 \\ \alpha K^- & \alpha \bar{K}^0 & -\beta \frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Expanding V_μ and A_μ in the powers of Φ/f_π , we get

$$V_\mu = 0 + O((\Phi/f_\pi)^2),$$

$$A_\mu = \frac{i}{f_\pi} \partial_\mu \Phi + O((\Phi/f_\pi)^2).$$

- The effective interaction Lagrangian between GBs and quarks from in the leading order can now be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{g_A}{f_\pi} \bar{\psi} \partial_\mu \Phi \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi,$$

which using the Dirac equation $(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_q)q = 0$ can be reduced to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \approx i \sum_{q=u,d,s} \frac{m_q + m_{q'}}{f_\pi} \bar{q}' \Phi \gamma^5 q = i \sum_{q=u,d,s} c_8 \bar{q}' \Phi \gamma^5 q.$$

- $c_8 \left(= \frac{m_q + m_{q'}}{f_\pi} \right)$ is the coupling constant for octet of GBs and m_q ($m_{q'}$) is the quark mass parameter. The Lagrangian of the quark-GB interaction, suppressing all the space-time structure to the lowest order, can now be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = c_8 \bar{\psi} \Phi \psi .$$

- The QCD Lagrangian is also invariant under the axial $U(1)$ symmetry, which would imply the **existence of ninth GB**. This breaking symmetry picks the η' as the ninth GB.
- The effective Lagrangian describing interaction between quarks and a nonet of GBs, consisting of octet and a singlet, can now be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = c_8 \bar{\psi} \Phi \psi + c_1 \bar{\psi} \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{3}} \psi = c_8 \bar{\psi} \left(\Phi + \zeta \frac{\eta'}{\sqrt{3}} I \right) \psi = c_8 \bar{\psi} (\Phi') \psi ,$$

where $\zeta = c_1/c_8$, c_1 is the coupling constant for the singlet GB and I is the 3×3 identity matrix.

Successes of χ CQM

- “Proton spin problem” including quark spin polarizations, orbital angular momentum of quarks etc.
- Quark flavor distributions, fraction of a particular quark (antiquark) present in a baryon, flavor structure functions, the Gottfried integral and the meson-baryon sigma terms.
- Magnetic moments of octet and decuplet baryons including their transitions and the Coleman-Glashow sum rule.
- Axial-vector form factors of the low lying octet baryons, singlet (g_0^A) and nonsinglet (g_3^A and g_8^A) axial-vector coupling constants.
- The spin independent (F_1^N and F_2^N) and the spin dependent g_1^N structure functions, longitudinal spin asymmetries of nucleon (A_1^N).

Contd...

- Hyperon β decay parameters including the axial-vector coupling parameters F and D .
- Magnetic moments of octet baryon resonances well as Λ resonances .
- Charge radii and quadrupole moment of the baryons.
- The model is successfully extended to predict the important role played by the small intrinsic charm content in the nucleon spin in the SU(4) χ CQM and to calculate the magnetic moment and charge radii of charm baryons including their radiative decays.

Transition Magnetic Moments

- The transition magnetic moments for the the spin $\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+$ transitions from the radiative decays $B_i \rightarrow B_f + \gamma$, where B_i and B_f are the initial and final baryons.
- The magnetic moment of a given baryon in the χ CQM receives contribution from the valence quark spin, sea quark spin and sea quark orbital angular momentum

$$\mu \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right)_{\text{Total}} = \mu \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right)_{\text{V}} + \mu \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right)_{\text{S}} + \mu \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right)_{\text{O}} .$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right)_V &= \sum_{q=u,d,s} \Delta q \left(\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+ \right)_V \mu_q \\ \mu \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right)_S &= \sum_{q=u,d,s} \Delta q \left(\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+ \right)_S \mu_q \\ \mu \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right)_O &= \sum_{q=u,d,s} \Delta q \left(\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+ \right)_V \mu(q_+ \rightarrow) \end{aligned}$$

- $\mu_q = \frac{e_q}{2M_q}$ ($q = u, d, s$) is the quark magnetic moment in the units of μ_N (nuclear magneton), $\Delta q \left(\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+ \right)_V$ and $\Delta q \left(\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+ \right)_S$ are the valence and sea quark spin polarizations respectively, $\mu(q_+ \rightarrow)$ is the orbital moment for any chiral fluctuation, e_q and M_q are the electric charge and the mass, respectively, for the quark q .

- The spin structure of a decuplet to octet transition matrix element is defined as

$$\left\langle B_{\frac{1}{2}^+}, S_z = \frac{1}{2} \left| \mathcal{N} \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right) \right| B_{\frac{3}{2}^+}, S_z = \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle .$$

- The number operator measures the number of quarks with spin up (\uparrow) or down (\downarrow) in the transition $\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+$

$$\mathcal{N} \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right) = \sum_{q=u,d,s} \left(N_{q\uparrow} \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right) + N_{q\downarrow} \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right) \right) .$$

- This can be used to calculate the quark spin polarizations (for $q = u, d, s$) for a given transition

$$\Delta q \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right) = N_{q\uparrow} \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right) - N_{q\downarrow} \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right) .$$

Valence transition magnetic moments for $\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+$ transitions.

$\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+$ transition	Valence transition magnetic moments
$\mu(\Delta \rightarrow p)_V$	$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_u - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_d$
$\mu(\Sigma^{*+} \rightarrow \Sigma^+)_V$	$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_u - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_s$
$\mu(\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Sigma^0)_V$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_u + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_d - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_s$
$\mu(\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Lambda)_V$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\mu_u - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\mu_d$
$\mu(\Sigma^{*-} \rightarrow \Sigma^-)_V$	$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_d - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_s$
$\mu(\Xi^{*0} \rightarrow \Xi^0)_V$	$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_u - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_s$
$\mu(\Xi^{*-} \rightarrow \Xi^-)_V$	$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_d - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\mu_s$

Sea quark transition magnetic moments for $\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+$ transitions.

$\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+$ transition	Sea quark transition magnetic moments
$\mu(\Delta \rightarrow p)_s$	$-\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(P_\pi + P_K + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_u + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(P_\pi + P_K + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_d$
$\mu(\Sigma^{*+} \rightarrow \Sigma^+)_s$	$-\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(2P_\pi + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_u - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} (P_\pi - P_K) \mu_d$ $+ \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(P_K + \frac{4}{3} P_\eta + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_s$
$\mu(\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Sigma^0)_s$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(3P_\pi - P_K + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_u - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(3P_\pi - P_K + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_d$ $+ \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(P_K + \frac{4}{3} P_\eta + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_s$
$\mu(\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Lambda)_s$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left(P_\pi + P_K + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_u + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left(P_\pi + P_K + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_d$
$\mu(\Sigma^{*-} \rightarrow \Sigma^-)_s$	$-\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} (P_\pi - P_K) \mu_u - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(P_\pi + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_d$ $+ \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} a \left(P_K + \frac{4}{3} P_\eta + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_s$
$\mu(\Xi^{*0} \rightarrow \Xi^0)_s$	$-\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(2P_\pi + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_u - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} (P_\pi - P_K) \mu_d$ $+ \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(P_K + \frac{4}{3} P_\eta + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_s$
$\mu(\Xi^{*-} \rightarrow \Xi^-)_s$	$-\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(2P_\pi + \frac{P_\eta}{3} + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_u - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} (P_\pi - P_K) \mu_d$ $+ \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(P_K + \frac{4}{3} P_\eta + \frac{2}{3} P_{\eta'} \right) \mu_s$

- The magnetic moment contribution of the angular momentum of a given sea quark

$$\langle L_q \rangle = \frac{M_{GB}}{M_q + M_{GB}} \text{ and } \langle L_{GB} \rangle = \frac{M_q}{M_q + M_{GB}} .$$

- The general orbital moment for any quark q is given as

$$\mu(q^\uparrow \rightarrow q'^\downarrow) = \frac{e_{q'}}{2M_q} \langle L_q \rangle + \frac{e_q - e_{q'}}{2M_{GB}} \langle L_{GB} \rangle .$$

- The magnetic moment arising from all the possible transitions of a given valence quark to the GBs is obtained by multiplying the orbital moment of each process to the probability for such a process to take place.

- The orbital moments of u , d and s quarks after including the transition probabilities P_π , P_K , P_η and $P_{\eta'}$ as well as the masses of GBs M_π , M_K and M_η can be expressed as

$$[\mu(u^\uparrow \rightarrow)] = \left[\frac{3P_\pi M_u^2}{2M_\pi(M_u + M_\pi)} - \frac{P_K(M_K^2 - 3M_u^2)}{2M_K(M_u + M_K)} + \frac{P_\eta M_\eta}{6(M_u + M_\eta)} + \frac{P_{\eta'} M_{\eta'}}{3(M_u + M_{\eta'})} \right] \mu_u,$$

$$[\mu(d^\uparrow \rightarrow)] = - \left[\frac{3P_\pi (M_\pi^2 - 2M_d^2)}{2M_\pi(M_d + M_\pi)} - \frac{P_K M_K}{(M_d + M_K)} - \frac{P_\eta M_\eta}{6(M_d + M_\eta)} - \frac{P_{\eta'} M_{\eta'}}{3(M_d + M_{\eta'})} \right] \mu_d,$$

$$[\mu(s^\uparrow \rightarrow)] = - \left[\frac{P_K(M_K^2 - 3M_s^2)}{M_K(M_s + M_K)} - \frac{2P_\eta M_\eta}{3(M_s + M_\eta)} - \frac{P_{\eta'} M_{\eta'}}{3(M_s + M_{\eta'})} \right] \mu_s.$$

- The orbital contribution to the magnetic moment of the decuplet to octet transition $\mu \left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+} \right)_O$ for the baryon the type $B(Q_1 Q_2 Q_3)$

$$\Delta Q_1 \left(\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+ \right)_V \mu(Q_1^\uparrow \rightarrow) + \Delta Q_2 \left(\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+ \right)_V \mu(Q_2^\uparrow \rightarrow) + \Delta Q_3 \left(\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+ \right)_V \mu(Q_3^\uparrow \rightarrow).$$

- Input parameters: transition probabilities P_π , P_K , P_η , $P_{\eta'}$ and masses of GBs M_π , M_K , M_η .
- Hierarchy followed by the probabilities of fluctuations of a constituent quark into pions, K , η and η'

$$P_{\eta'} < P_\eta < P_K < P_\pi .$$

- The transition probabilities are fixed by the experimentally known spin and flavor distribution functions measured from the DIS experiments. A detailed analysis leads to the following probabilities:

$$P_{\eta'} = 0.03 , \quad P_\eta = 0.04 , \quad P_K = 0.06 , \quad P_\pi = 0.12 .$$

- The on mass shell mass values can be used for the orbital angular momentum contributions characterized by the masses of quarks and GBs (M_q and M_{GB}).

Magnetic moments in units of μ_N for the $B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+}$ transitions.

$B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+}$ Transition	Data [?]	$\left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+}\right)_V$	$\left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+}\right)_S$	$\left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+}\right)_O$	$\left(B_{\frac{3}{2}^+} \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{2}^+}\right)$
$\Delta \rightarrow p$	-3.43	2.83	-0.60	1.65	3.87
$\Sigma^{*+} \rightarrow \Sigma^+$	4.45	2.49	-0.54	0.65	2.60
$\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Sigma^0$	--	1.08	-0.24	-0.18	0.67
$\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Lambda$	3.69	2.45	-0.52	1.42	3.35
$\Sigma^{*-} \rightarrow \Sigma^-$	< 0.85	-0.33	-0.05	-0.99	-1.37
$\Xi^{*0} \rightarrow \Xi^0$	< 5.39	2.50	-0.31	0.65	2.83
$\Xi^{*-} \rightarrow \Xi^-$	< 5.39	-0.33	-0.31	-1.00	-1.64

- The orbital part is contributing with the same sign as that of the valence part except in the case of $\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Sigma^0$ transition.
- The sea part has opposite sign as that of the valence quarks except in the case of $\Sigma^{*-} \rightarrow \Sigma^-$ and $\Xi^{*-} \rightarrow \Xi^-$ transitions.
- The orbital part dominates over the sea part making the total magnetic moments even higher than the valence part. $\Delta \rightarrow p$, $\Sigma^{*+} \rightarrow \Sigma^+$, $\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Lambda$ and $\Xi^{*0} \rightarrow \Xi^0$ transitions.
- In the $\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Sigma^0$ transition, the total magnetic moments are much lower than the valence part owing to the same sign of sea and orbital part.
- The valence, sea and orbital parts have the same sign in $\Sigma^{*-} \rightarrow \Sigma^-$ and $\Xi^{*-} \rightarrow \Xi^-$ and all these contributions add up to give a much higher magnetic moment.

- Experimental data is available for $\Delta \rightarrow p$, $\Sigma^{*+} \rightarrow \Sigma^+$, and $\Sigma^{*0} \rightarrow \Lambda$ transitions.
- The empirical estimate for the magnetic moment of the $\Delta \rightarrow p + \gamma$ transition can be made from the helicity amplitudes, $A_{\frac{1}{2}} = -0.135 \pm 0.005 \text{ GeV}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $A_{\frac{3}{2}} = -0.250 \pm 0.008 \text{ GeV}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ as inputs in the decay rate.
- The extracted magnetic moment $\mu_{\Delta \rightarrow p} = 3.46 \pm 0.03 \mu_N$. Our predicted value of $3.87 \mu_N$ is very close to the experimental results.
- **The sea quarks perhaps provide the dominant dynamics of the constituents in the nonperturbative regime of QCD.** A further precise measurement of these magnetic moments, therefore, would have great importance for the understanding of χ CQM.

Summary: Application Potential of the model

The present calculations suggest few important points

- The sea quarks and the orbital angular momentum of the sea quarks perhaps provide the dominant dynamics of the constituents in the low-energy regime of QCD.
- The constituent quarks and weakly interacting Goldstone bosons provide the appropriate degree of freedom in the nonperturbative regime of QCD.
- A further precise measurement of these magnetic moments, therefore, would have important implications for the χ CQM.

Long term

Understanding the spin structure of the baryon will help to resolve the most challenging problems facing subatomic physics which include

- What happens to the spin in the transition between current and constituent quarks in the low energy QCD?
- How can we distinguish between the *current quarks* and the *constituent quarks*?
- How is the spin of the baryon built out from the intrinsic spin and orbital angular momentum of its quark and gluonic constituents?
- What is the role played by non-valence flavors in understanding the baryon internal structure?

Thank You