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Targetry challenges and experimental motivations
Targetry challenges

• To increase target power goals for accelerators continued investigation into many complex behaviours for the required facility upgrades is necessary.

• Limitations often occur due to target rather than accelerator.

• For high power target designs the following needs investigation:
  • Thermal behaviour and beam induced thermal shock/stress wave – heat dissipation and thermal-mechanical effects/deformations
  • Target designs for new physics discoveries for Neutrino Factories, Muon Colliders, Spallation Sources
    ➢ e.g. particle converters for secondary/tertiary particle production and investigations
  • Cyclic fatigue
  • Radiation damage altering the material properties
  • Remote handling
  • Waste disposal

• Link between simulation and experimental concepts are vital to expand current knowledge of target properties and behaviours.

But why are controllable experimental facilities important for target investigations?
Targetry challenges

• Standard practices include:
  • High reliability on simulations with Monte-Carlo, numerical models, FLUKA, ANSYS…
  • Lack of user facilities to corroborate anticipated performances of targets and novel materials under high powered beam impacts.

• Experiments often performed in uncontrolled environments:
  • Temporary ad-hoc in-beam installations
    ➢ Issues with logistics, safety, beam time, experimental requirements (e.g. uncontrolled beam parameters, uncontrolled beam size)
Experimental motivations

New physics opportunities arise through the study of (rare) secondary / tertiary particles;

- Muons, Neutrinos, Ions, etc.
- Produced through interaction of a primary proton beam on a target material

Key factor is the FLUX:

- High flux of secondary particles demands high power of the primary beam
- Megawatt(s) of average beam power on the target
- For example, for a proposed neutrino factory: 4 MW beam power on target
Experimental motivations

Going to the (Multi-)MW range is not trivial;

1. Rapid Temperature Increases
   - Compressive stresses occur if fast expansion of material surrounded by cooler material
   - Stress waves / thermal shocks through the target

2. Plastic deformation, cracking, fatigue potentials

3. Radiation Damage
   - Corrosion, embrittlement, swelling, etc.

4. Residual Radiation
   - Effects on materials
   - Access limitation if a problem arises.
   - Radiation Protection
Experimental motivations

Why are controllable experimental facilities important for target investigations?

- Enables REAL BEAM experiments to be performed, which are vital:
  - To understand new materials and prototypes in these harsh environments.
  - To investigate how damage or onset damage occurs.
  - To determine the consequences which these real beam impacts may have in operation.
  - To broaden the empirical data for material models and simulations.

- Enables detailed investigation, specifically for the experimental needs, due to:
  - Specially designed experiments.
  - Adaptable beam pulses;
    - Beam position, beam size, pulse length, etc.
  - Beam instrumentation validated in real conditions with any limitations identified.

- It allows a true understanding to be gained of how a new material, target or prototype will behave in their intended environment.
How HiRadMat is a key player for Targetry challenges
Initial needs for the HiRadMat testing facility

- Originated from the LHC Collimation Project, due to requirements for a facility capable for “testing accelerator equipment with beam shock impacts\(^1\) using high power LHC type beams\(^2\).

- Designed as an facility for R&D using pulsed high energy, high intensity proton beams (ions also possible)

- The High Radiation to Materials (HiRadMat) testing facility took its first beam in 2012\(^3\) and has continued to deliver pulsed, high intensity, LHC-type beam to over 40 experiments.

- Developed into a facility which has, so far, completed experiments on materials testing, prototype & novel designs validation, beam monitoring devices, investigations at cryogenic temperatures and pre-irradiation materials analysis.

\(^1\) [http://lhccollimation-project.web.cern.ch/lhc-collimation-project/HiRadMat.htm](http://lhccollimation-project.web.cern.ch/lhc-collimation-project/HiRadMat.htm)

\(^2\) R. Assmann et al. 2009 “User Requirements for a Test Facility with High Power LHC Type Beam”, EDMS No: 1130296

Targety challenges and HiRadMat

- HiRadMat is of UNIQUE importance for the investigation of the following:
  - Thermomechanical behaviours
  - Structural integrity of novel materials and prototypes
  - Pulsed beam effects
  - Material effects
- Experimental setups can vary, from simplistic designs to complex prototypes (some discussed later), allowing benchmark simulation validation, material selection and design qualification.
# Beam Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HiRadMat Proton Beam</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beam Energy</td>
<td>440 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy per pulse</td>
<td>2.436 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch Intensity</td>
<td>5E9 to 1.2E11 protons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Bunches</td>
<td>1 to 288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Pulse Intensity</td>
<td>5E9 protons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1b at 5E9 ppb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Pulse Intensity</td>
<td>3.46E13 protons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(288b at 1.2E11 ppb)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current during pulse</td>
<td>696.4 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power during pulse</td>
<td>3.1E5 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Length (max)</td>
<td>7.95 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 σ r.m.s. beam radius</td>
<td>0.5 to 2.0 mm (standard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 to 4.0 mm currently upon request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total allocated protons/year into facility</td>
<td>1E16 protons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>equivalent to approx. 10 experiments per year at 1.0E15 protons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Super cycle options & bunch structure

- **HiRadMat ‘Long’ Super Cycle:**
  HRM_LS = 22.8 s, SFTPRO = 10.8 s, MD cycle = 7.2 s (3.6 s potentially depending on planning).
  ➢ TOTAL = 40.8 s (or 37.2 s) between pulses.

- **HiRadMat ‘Short’ Super Cycle:**
  HRM_SS = 8.4 s, SFTPRO = 10.8 s, MD cycle = 7.2 s (3.6 s potentially depending on planning).
  ➢ TOTAL = 26.4 s (or 22.8 s) between pulses.

HiRadMat pulse structure for maximum (288 bunches) [7.95 µs pulse]
HiRadMat flexibility can be exploited to reach conditions exceeding those imposed by the SPS by, for example:

- Reducing beam transverse size ($\sigma$) to increase peak energy density $U_{\text{max}}$ which governs local damage (e.g. spallation, fragmentation, localised melting).
R&D examples with HiRadMat
Pulsed beam effects
HRMT10 Motivations & Findings

Goal:
Investigate an alternative for liquid mercury (a proposed solution for Neutrino Factory); granular (powdered) targets – to study the response of static tungsten powder impinged with a high energy, high power proton beam pulse.

Tungsten powder chosen due to:
➢ High Z
➢ High melting point
➢ Good Flowability (proved by RAL)

HiRadMat beam adaptability
• Neutrino Factory single pulse
  ➢ 6.25E13 protons at 8 GeV at 50 Hz
• Maximum energy deposition per NF pulse at Hg: approx. 200 J/g (FLUKA)
• Maximum energy deposition per NF pulse at W-powder: approx. 200 J/g (FLUKA)

Tune the beam parameters of HiRadMat (440 GeV) in order to match this maximum energy deposition
➢ 6.25E13 protons at 8 GeV at 1.5 mm sigma
  ➢ Equivalent to 3.7E12 protons at 440 GeV at 1.5 mm sigma

Single pulse comparisons provided same energy deposition maximum obtained (200 J/g)

Courtesy of N. Charitonidis, et al.

FIG. 2. Section drawing of the tungsten powder rig.
Effect of a high-power incident proton beam on a tungsten powder target.
In this video, 1.7E11 protons at 440 GeV are impinging on the target.
https://videos.cern.ch/record/1975404

Tungsten powder immersed in helium atmosphere perturbed when impinged by 440 GeV proton beam\(^1\).

Experiment led to further investigation with HRMT22, investigating the effect of granular tungsten powder in both helium and vacuum atmospheres\(^2\).

---

\(^1\) O. Caretta et al. 2014 “Response of a tungsten powder target to an incident high energy proton beam” Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, 17, 101005

Damage limitations
HRMT37 (SextSc) Motivations

Goal:
Measure the damage mechanisms and limits of superconducting strands under cryogenic conditions due to the impact of high intensity proton beams and the degradation.

One such event per year in today’s LHC was possible. No damage observed so far.

In HL-LHC:
- increase of beam intensity
- new inner triplet magnets using Nb$_3$Sn
  ⇒ investigate the damage limits of superconducting magnets!

Image courtesy M. Meyer, CERN
**HRMT37 Experiment**

**Beam axis is fixed**, relative to HiRadMat experimental table
- Metrology of sample holders performed beforehand; Survey after installation

**Beam based alignment**
- drive the sample holder step-wise into beam, measure losses as function of sample holder position (via Diamond detectors)
- loss-pattern expected to be symmetric around the ‘wire’ centre if beam shape is symmetric

Fit: \( y_0 = -149.7 \text{ mm} \) (nominal -150.5 mm)

Fit: \( x_0 = -66.1 \text{ mm} \) (nominal -65 mm)

Horizontal stage \( \Delta x = 330 \text{ mm} \)
Accuracy +/- 300\( \mu \text{m} \)

Vertical stage \( \Delta y = 200 \text{ mm} \)
Accuracy +/- 50\( \mu \text{m} \)

23rd – 27th Sept.
J-PARC Symposium 2019

Courtesy of A. Will, D. Wollmann et al.
HRMT37 Results

LTS sample extraction
First visual inspection
• Bending of strands visible after beam impact starting from ~800K

HTS sample extraction
Up to 700K-800K samples very little to no visible damage

Publication anticipated; initial results presented at:
https://indico.cern.ch/event/796548/contributions/3532103/attachments/1895990/3128025/SM_Submission_Jonathan.ppx
Materials investigation & prototypes validation
HRMT21 (RotCol) Motivations

- SLAC rotatable collimator designed (Glidcop) as part of the US-LARP collaboration.
- Low impedance secondary collimator capable of withstanding 7 TeV failures (to be installed as part of the LHC Phase II collimation).
- 20 collimating surfaces in case of beam damage.

Goals:
- Demonstrate that the rotation functionality works for the design failure at top energy (asynchronous beam dump 8 bunches at 7 TeV).
- Investigate onset damage for cases of LHC injection error (288 bunches at 450 GeV).
- Integrity of cooling pipes of jaws.
- Material ejecta debris impacts.
HRMT21 Findings

Results:

• Jaw rotation systematically worked.
• Integrity of cooling pipes remained after impacts and jaw rotations.
• No permanent sticking of the two jaws, even with ejecta from pulses.

Courtesy of A. Bertarelli, F. Carra et al.
HRMT48 (PROTAD) Motivations

Goal:
To impact with high energy, high intensity proton beam, several real scale prototypes for the future AD production target to assess the validity of the current designs under equivalent operational conditions.

Inclusion of novel materials (W-TiC) manufactured by our colleagues from KEK and JPARC (Japan)

- **Target 1:**
  Same as the old design

- **Target 2:**
  Investigate Ta response (larger diameter) & Ir at the downstream

- **Target 3:**
  Ta response (annealed + 2 mm diameter) & Ir at the downstream

- **Target 4:**
  Ta2.5W + Ir tube at the downstream

- **Target 5:**
  W and W-1.1TiC (KEK) + Ta tube

- **Target 6:**
  Ir response (larger diameter)
HRMT48 Experiment

PROTAD targets tested within the STI-Multipurpose Experiment.

Example of experiment optimisation (3 different experiments in one experimental tank)

Relative movement between the “Ta-Degraders” and PROTAD targets (to compensate internal damage in the first)

Instrumentation:
- Fast acquisition rate strain gauges (4 MHz) attached to the internal downstream Ti-Grade 5 window. (Inside the cooling channel)
- The goal is to measure the potential dynamic stresses in the window due to wave propagations from the core

CuCrZr Mask to perform beam based alignment

Upstream Module

Downstream Module

F. Harden

Courtesy of C. Torregrosa et al.
HRMT48 Beam time (analysis ongoing)

### Beam Pulse List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
<th>Beam spot [mm]</th>
<th>Bunch spacing [ns]</th>
<th>Pulse length [ns]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.20E+11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 to 150</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.30E+10</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 to 400</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.30E+10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Courtesy of C. Torregrosa et al.
- BTV reading on pulse on Target 6 (degrader at 8 mm)
Materials (non-irradiated and irradiated) investigations
HRMT24 (BeGrid)

HRMT24 Goals

- Investigate specimen arrays containing thin Beryllium discs and slugs (various commercial grades and thicknesses)
- Points to cover, temperature, strain and displacement measurements.

HRMT43 Goals

- Compare thermal shock response between non-irradiated and previously proton irradiated material specimens from BNL BLIP (Be, C, Ti, Si, Si-coated graphite)
  - First test with activated materials at HiRadMat
- Explore novel materials such as metal foams (C, SiC) and electrospun fiber mats (Al₂O₃, ZrO₂) to evaluate their resistance to thermal shock and suitability as target materials
- Real-time measurement of dynamic thermo-mechanical response of graphite slugs to help benchmark numerical simulations
- PIE of specimens (Profilometry, Optical & SEM)

Great logistical effort (transport, containment, handling, installation) from all teams to install pre-irradiated samples into HiRadMat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulse</th>
<th>Array</th>
<th>No. of bunches</th>
<th>Bunch intensity</th>
<th>Pulse intensity</th>
<th>σₓ (mm)</th>
<th>σᵧ (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8.40E+10</td>
<td>1.21E+13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8.47E+10</td>
<td>1.22E+13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8.54E+10</td>
<td>1.23E+13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8.33E+10</td>
<td>1.20E+13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8.26E+10</td>
<td>1.19E+13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8.30E+10</td>
<td>1.21E+13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>1.17E+10</td>
<td>2.53E+13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>1.22E+10</td>
<td>3.51E+13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total protons on target: 1.33e14

October 1 – 2, 2018 4:00am
BeGrid2 Exposure completed
HRMT43 (BeGrid2) Findings

Temperature on cylindrical surface of slugs

Numerical simulations currently being updated with experimental beam parameters to benchmark results

PIE to be performed at CCFE in September – results to follow
Future outlook
International HiRadMat Workshop

- 3 day workshop held from 10\textsuperscript{th} to 12\textsuperscript{th} July 2019 (https://indico.cern.ch/event/767689/overview)
- Total of 81 participants from (54\% CERN, 46\% non-CERN)
- 37 presentations from 12 different topic areas (i.e. HiRadMat Facility; Remote Sensing & Beam Instrumentation; Materials Science & Beam Induced Damage Research; Future Accelerator Projects; Rare Isotope Beams; Fusion Materials R&D; Advanced Light Sources (seminar); Spallation Neutron Sources; Neutrino & Muon Facilities; Theoretical Modelling; Laser Driven Shock Waves (seminar); Letters of Interest for future operation).
- 12 LoIs currently submitted for future interest in the facility. More anticipated
- Workshop summary report and executive report currently being prepared.
HiRadMat Operation Strategy

**Strategy 1 (low-level)**
Upgrade current surface lab proposal:
- Increase size of lab area to accommodate increased number of users.
- Increase surface space meaning 2 experiments can be fully accommodated at one time.
- More storage, tools, working areas for users
- Improve survey conditions
- Improve space for transport logistics.

**Strategy 2 (mid-level)**
New surface lab proposal
- Better accommodate array of different experiments entering HiRadMat.
- Possibility to temporally increase radiation protection classification to accommodate pre-irradiated experiments.
- Lab design relevant for current (and anticipated future) needs – size, storage, table integrations, electronics, survey etc.
- Improved transport logistics (entering surface lab, installing in experimental area and exiting experimental area post-irradiation).

**Strategy 3 (high-level)**
Upgrade experimental area to enable HL-LHC type beams
- Beam windows & dump studies required.
Summary
Conclusions

HiRadMat described as a strategic asset for the investigation of novel materials, prototypes and thermo-mechanical principles for Targetry challenges.

➢ Thermomechanical behaviours (e.g. thermal shock / resistance)
➢ Structural integrity of novel materials and prototypes (e.g. cases for accidental beam strike, proof of concept, design verification)
➢ Pulsed beam effects (e.g. theoretical model validations, material impacts, damage thresholds)
➢ Material effects (e.g. non-irradiated and irradiated materials)

Interested in future experiments, contact hiradmat@sps.cern.ch
Thank you to all teams & groups involved with the HiRadMat operation:
BE/BI, BE/OP, EN/CV, EN/EA, EN/HE,
EN/MME, EN/SMM, EN/STI, HSE/RP, TE/MPE

This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 730871.

For presentation material, special thanks to:
M. Calviani, C. Torregrosa et al. (CERN/EN-STI)
A. Bertarelli, F. Carra et al. (CERN/EN-MME)
A. Will, D. Wollmann et al. (CERN/TE-MPE)
P. Hurh, K. Ammigan et al. (Fermilab)
T. Ishida et al. (J-PARC)
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Surface Infrastructure

**HiRadMat Surface Lab**
- Located in bldg. 876/R-017.
- Supervised Radiation Area.
- Contains laboratory fixed tables enabling pre-commissioning tests on experiments before final installation in experimental area.

**HiRadMat Control Room**
- Located in bldg. 876/R-003.
- DAQ and offline monitoring systems can be set-up for each experiment.
Experimental Area

HiRadMat Experimental Test Area

- **Stand A:**
  Dedicated Beam Instrumentation Stand providing beam diagnostics and monitoring systems.

- **Stand B & C:**
  Dedicated Experimental Stands enabling different optics to be achieved.

- Tables are cooled by a cooling circuit (30 kW, 3 m³/h, 9 bar)
- Power provided (4 kV / 2.5 kA)
- Signal cables (50 V / 2 A) for motorization stages, cameras, etc.

HiRadMat has dedicated feed-throughs into an adjacent tunnel (TT61) where additional electronic and measurement systems can be added (e.g. equipment for cameras, radiation sensitive cameras and LDVs).

Shielding optimised in order to protect sensitive equipment from prompt radiation.

After irradiation, experiments are moved to the HiRadMat cool-down area (usually 1-2 weeks after beam) to allow for an activation cool-down of the irradiated samples.

After a sufficient cool-down period, and in coordination with CERNs Radiation Protection group and the experimental team, the experiments is moved to an appropriate lab for post irradiation examination.
Support for users

SPS Operation

Colleagues from SPS Operations provides high quality proton (or ion) beam to the HiRadMat experiment. Standard procedures relating to beam trajectory, beam emittance, beam spot size, proton bunch sets, etc. are all completed by the experts during the dedicated HiRadMat beam time.

Example of the HiRadMat proton beam trajectory for 12 bunches delivered to experiment.

Example of the extracted intensity for delivered 144 protons.

Example of quality of bunch-bunch intensity for 144 bunches (2×72 bunches)
Support for users

HiRadMat Operation

- CERN colleagues available to assist with in situ measurements and monitoring, e.g. LDV, strain gauges, radiation hard camera, experiment motorisation.
- Beam diagnostic systems provided through collaboration with HiRadMat and Beam Instrumentation Group.
- Data stored and available for analysis after beam time.

Fixed Beam Instrumentation Table, currently includes a Diamond Detector, BPKG and BTV

Image obtained from HRM-BTV
### HiRadMat Ion Beam (data from 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beam Energy</td>
<td>173.5 GeV/nucleon (36.1 TeV per ion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Energy (max)</td>
<td>21 kJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunch Intensity</td>
<td>3.0×10^7 to 7.0×10^7 ions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Bunches</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Pulse Intensity</td>
<td>3.0×10^7 ions (1b at 3.0×10^7 ions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Pulse Intensity</td>
<td>3.64×10^8 ions (52b at 7.0×10^7 ions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Length (max)</td>
<td>5.2 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam size at target</td>
<td>Variable around 1 mm^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HRMT22 Results

19/06/15 19:17:15 -1 s 1.254000 s 1000 Hz 990 µs
HRMT22 Results

The Development of Fluidized Powder Target Technology for a Neutrino Factory or Muon Collider, where HiRadMat proton beam induced dynamics of the tungsten granules.

Interesting behavior was observed: non-aerodynamic lift mechanism, slower in helium atmosphere. Behaviour is systematic and can be explained only by the fact that different physics dominate the first milliseconds of the movement.

Courtesy of N. Charitonidis, et al.
HRMT37 Cryostat design

**Pulse-Tube Crycooler** based

- Ø 525 mm, height 860 mm
- cooling power of 1W at 4K
- 40m He-gas supply lines
- 100 signal wire feed throughs
- 8 temperature sensors

Two stages

- **1st stage** ~30K, cools thermal shield
- **2nd stage** ~4K
  - Cu interface plate
  - Two sample holders

Designed and built with an industry partner

Courtesy of A. Will, D. Wollmann et al.
**HRMT24 (BeGrid) Motivations & Findings**

**HRMT24 Goals**
- Investigate specimen arrays containing thin Beryllium discs and slugs (various commercial grades and thicknesses)
- Points to cover, temperature, strain and displacement measurements.

**Real-time thermomechanical measurements**
- Instrumented Be slugs in downstream containment boxes
- LDV for radial displacement measurements

**HRMT24 results:**

PIE performed at University of Oxford (Optical microscopy and profilometry to measure out-of-plane plastic deformations)

- Distinctive strain response for the three different Be grades
- Residual plastic strain observed upon cool-down
- All Be grades showed less plastic deformation than predicted by available literature strength models
- S200FH showed least plastic deformation, in agreement with empirical strength model
- Observed plastic strain ratcheting in Array 3
- Glassy carbon windows survived without signs of degradation

*Courtesy of P. Hurh, K. Ammigan et al.*
HRMT24 Results - PIE

- Thin disc specimen PIE performed at University of Oxford
- Optical microscopy and profilometry to measure out-of-plane plastic deformations

- All Be grades showed less plastic deformation than predicted by available literature strength models
- S200FH showed least plastic deformation, in agreement with empirical strength model
- Observed plastic strain ratcheting in Array 3
- Glassy carbon windows survived without signs of degradation

S-65F grade specimens

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{0.75 mm discs} & \\
\text{2 mm discs} & \\
\end{align*} \]